arXiv:1607.08111v1 [gr-qc] 22 Jul 2016

Laser Gyroscopes, Gravity, and the SME

Nicholas Scaramuzza and Jay D. Tasson

Physics Department, St. Olaf College, Northfield, MN 55057, USA

In this contribution to the CPT'16 proceedings, we illustrate the potential use of ring-laser systems in searching for Lorentz violation in the framework of the Standard-Model Extension. We present expressions for the Lorentz-violating contribution to the ring-laser signal for a sample system and make sensitivity estimates for the GINGER project.

1. Basics

Experimental discovery of Lorentz violation could provide evidence of a unified theory of General Relativity and the Standard Model at the Planck scale.[1] The Standard-Model Extension (SME) provides a comprehensive effective field-theory based framework in which to search for Lorentz violation at accessible energies,[2] and a large number of searches have been performed in the SME context.[3] Ring-laser systems have the potential to provide another interesting test of Lorentz symmetry in the gravity sector of the SME.[4] This section briefly summarizes some basic information about ring lasers and about the gravity sector of the SME prior to the discussion of our analysis and results in Sec. 2 and applications in Sec. 3.

Ring lasers consist of two light beams traveling in opposite directions along a closed path. Effects that break the symmetry of the system are encoded in the interference of these beams. The largest such effect routinely observed is that of rotating-frame effects on the system known as the Sagnac effect, which generates a laser beat frequency of

$$\nu_{\rm s} = \frac{4A\vec{\Omega}\cdot\hat{n}}{P\lambda}, \tag{1}$$

where A and P are the area and perimeter of the ring respectively, λ is the wavelength of the light, and $\hat{n}$ is a unit normal to the ring. In this way, ring lasers are able to measure their own rotation Ω.

A fixed ring laser located on the Earth will experience several effects that alter the beat frequency. These include the rotation of the Earth, and

thus the ring-laser system, and frame dragging effects predicted by General Relativity. For a planar loop in the equatorial plane, the gravitomagnetic effects of Earth's angular momentum, $\vec{J}_\oplus$, take the following form (in natural units),[5]

$$\nu_{\rm gm} \approx \frac{4GAJ_\oplus}{R^3 P\lambda}, \tag{2}$$

where R is the radius of the Earth, and G is Newton's constant.

Since Lorentz violation is known to mimic gravitomagnetic effects,[6,7] we consider its impact on ring-laser experiments here. Solving the linearized effective Einstein equations for the pure-gravity sector of the minimal SME, the following post-newtonian metric is obtained,[6]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= -1 + 2U + 3\bar{s}^{00}U + \bar{s}^{jk}U^{jk} - 4\bar{s}^{0j}V^j + ... \\ g_{0j} &= \bar{s}^{0j}U - \bar{s}^{0k}U^{jk} - \tfrac{7}{2}\left(1 + \tfrac{1}{28}\bar{s}^{00}\right)V^j + ... \\ g_{jk} &= \delta^{jk} + (2 - \bar{s}^{00})\delta^{jk}U + \left(\bar{s}^{lm}\delta^{jk} - \bar{s}^{jl}\delta^{mk}\right)U^{lm} + ..., \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which forms the starting point for the current analysis. Here $\bar{s}^{\mu\nu}$ is a coefficient for Lorentz violation in the minimal gravity sector. The standard newtonian potential is given by U, and the remaining potentials are defined as $U^{jk} = G\int d^3x' \rho(\vec{x}', t)R^j R^k/R^3$ and $V^j = G\int d^3x' \rho(\vec{x}', t)v^j(\vec{x}', t)/R$.[6] Though it lies beyond our present scope, we note in passing that a similar analysis for the matter sector may also be of interest.[8]

2. Sample system

Here we consider Lorentz-violating effects stemming from Eq. (3) that generate signals in ring-laser experiments in the absence of Sagnac or gravitomagnetic effects, as opposed to those that can arise as a perturbation on these effects. While it is clear that perturbations on the conventional effects also exist, resulting signals are typically suppressed. Working to leading order in Lorentz violation, we derive the beat frequency in analogy with gravitomagnetism by calculating the time of flight difference between the two beams due to $\bar{s}^{tj}$ via an integral along the light-like world lines of Eq. (3).

In this proceedings contribution, we consider a sample ring-laser system as an illustration of the results that arise. For the sample system, we consider an approximately rectangular loop in the equatorial plane for which two sides are radial and two sides are azimuthal. For the radial legs of the path, which provide the signal of interest, the world line takes the following

form:

$$0 = d\tau^2 = dt^2(1-2U) + 2dtdRg_{0j}\hat{R}^j - dR^2(1+2U). \tag{4}$$

Solving for the beat frequency under these conditions yields

$$\nu_{\rm LV} = \frac{4gA}{P\lambda}(-\bar{s}^{TX}\sin\phi + \bar{s}^{TY}\cos\phi), \tag{5}$$

where g is the newtonian gravitational field and capital indices T, X, Y denote components in the standard Sun-centered frame.[6] Note that on the surface of the Earth, $\phi = \omega_\oplus t$. Hence the observable beat frequency varies sidereally in the presence of Lorentz violation. Using the previously described method, it is possible to generate the resulting dominant contributions to the beat frequency for a ring laser in any orientation.[4]

3. The GINGER project

The GINGER (Gyroscopes IN GEneral Relativity) experiment will consist of several perpendicular ring lasers and is currently being designed with the sensitivity to measure the gravitomagnetic effects of General Relativity.[5] The experiment expects to obtain sensitivity to the angular velocity of the Earth via the Sagnac effect beyond the part in 10^9 level.[5] Using this experimental sensitivity and the sample system above, it is possible to generate a crude estimate of the sensitivity to Lorentz violation that might be achieved by the GINGER project. We predict that sensitivities to $\bar{s}^{TJ}$ better than parts in 10^6 are possible. With the exception of astrophysical tests,[9] which perhaps involve additional assumptions, sensitivities at this level would be competitive with the current state of the art measurements.[3,10]

References

1. V.A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 683 (1989).
2. For a review, see J.D. Tasson, Rep. Prog. Phys. **77**, 062901 (2014).
3. *Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation,* V.A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, 2016 edition, arXiv:0801.0287v9.
4. N. Scaramuzza and J.D. Tasson, in preparation.
5. A. Ortolan *et al.*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **718**, 072003 (2016).
6. Q.G. Bailey and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 045001 (2006).
7. J.D. Tasson, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124021 (2012); Q.G. Bailey, R.D. Everett, and J.M. Overduin, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 102001 (2013).
8. V.A. Kostelecký, and J.D. Tasson, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 016013 (2011).
9. L. Shao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 111103 (2014); Phys. Rev. D **90**, 122009 (2014); V.A. Kostelecký and J.D. Tasson, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 551 (2015).
10. A. Hees *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 064049 (2015).